				HOUSING -	AGREE/DISAGREE	
GENDER	AGE RANGE	PARTY	HOME	PROBLEM	COMMUNITY EFFORT	VERBATIM RESPONSE
						Deed restricted properties are impacting the
						value of other units in complexes and often
						end up staying on the market much longer
						again impacting the sales of non-deed
						restricted properties. Plus, given deed
						restricted can still be quite pricey, for
						example over \$600k for a 2-3BR in Vail, this
						price point is still very difficult for a new
						home buyer or lower economic bracket,
						which again keeps it on the market longer
						and is not serving the purpose that it was
						meant to serve but does end up hurting the
						non-deed restricted properties. We have
FEMALE	45-54	DEMOCRAT	OWN	BIG	STRONGLY DISAGREE	first hadn't experience with this.
						We need more employee housing. With the
	10.24	OTUED				deed-restricted housing, there is only one
FEMALE		OTHER	OWN	BIG	STRONGLY DISAGREE	family. The employee housing allows more.
FEMALE	45-54	OTHER	OWN	BIG	STRONGLY DISAGREE	People lie and cheat.
						They can't get political. You have to take
						the housing issue seriously in Eagle county
						and the surrounding counties in the area.
						Politics cannot get in the way of the vision
						of the housing authority or anyone else
MALE	55-64	REPUBLICAN	OWN	BIG	STRONGLY DISAGREE	involved in the process.

				HOUSING -	AGREE/DISAGREE	
GENDER	AGE RANGE	PARTY	HOME	PROBLEM	COMMUNITY EFFORT	VERBATIM RESPONSE
						Deed-restriction robs the owner the
						opportunity to gain property value. Being
						stuck in a lower valued property would
						decrease incentive to maintain the property.
						As years pass the deed restricted homes will
						look out of place and potentially bring the
FEMALE	45-54	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	BIG	STRONGLY DISAGREE	town down.
						I don't believe the town should regulate
						private homes to be deed restricted. If the
						town wants to build and own the homes
FEMALE	55-64	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	BIG	STRONGLY DISAGREE	and require deed restrictions that is fine.
						It is a very expensive cost to all residents, I
						think if employers are having trouble
						attracting employees they should pay more
						and be more responsible for providing
						employee housing. Also, there are many
						future burdens of deed restricted housing on
						purchasers that they may not realize when
MALE	55-64	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	BIG	STRONGLY DISAGREE	they buy a home.
						If you are trying to be a responsible adult
						that would like to start a family, you are not
						eligible for a certain number of bedrooms
						because you have not had a child yet.
FEMALE	REFUSED	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	BIG	STRONGLY DISAGREE	Ridiculous!!
						Town of Vail is NOT in the real estate
						business. Vail Resorts (town merchants)
						should be providing housing for their
MALE	REFUSED	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	BIG	STRONGLY DISAGREE	employees.
						Competing w/the govt. puts the public
MALE	65+	DEMOCRAT	REFUSED	BIG	STRONGLY DISAGREE	sector at an unfair disadvantage.

GENDER	AGE RANGE	PARTY	НОМЕ	HOUSING - PROBLEM	AGREE/DISAGREE COMMUNITY EFFORT	VERBATIM RESPONSE
						I'm libertarian. I don't believe in limiting the
MALE	45-54	OTHER	RENT	BIG	STRONGLY DISAGREE	market supply and demand forces.
						Because the town lets the resort manage the
MALE	18-34	UNAFFILIATED	RENT	BIG	STRONGLY DISAGREE	majority of property in town.
						How many people that work in Manhattan
						live there?? How many people that work in
						Denver live there?? It is OK to commute like
MALE	45-54	DEMOCRAT	OWN	NOT AT ALL	STRONGLY DISAGREE	90% of people in this country!!!
FEMALE	65+	OTHER	OWN	NOT AT ALL	STRONGLY DISAGREE	The battle was lost 20 years ago.
						Housing shouldn't be a government issue. If
						employers want employees to live in town,
						then they can provide housing or pay
						employees more. Town of Vail shouldn't be
MALE	35-44	REFUSED	OWN	NOT AT ALL	STRONGLY DISAGREE	tasked with subsidizing business.
						Because it's not a Town of Vail problem. It's
						a Vail Resorts problem. I'm so tired of
						people complaining about the cost of living
						in Vail. PEOPLE! it's not just Vail, it's the
						entire state. Vail is no more expensive than
						Boulder or Denver. We have an "employee
						housing unit" aka, a lockoff apartment
						above our garage. it's a beautiful apartment.
						We ask a reasonable rent. Guess what. Our
						last 3 renters (one lady managed the
						LuLuLemon in Vail, another worked for Bail
						Resorts, other was chef at Fall Line)
						completely destroyed the place. Their pit
						bull attacked our neighbors grand daughter.
						So we're not even renting it out anymore.
MALE	35-44	REPUBLICAN	OWN	NOT AT ALL	STRONGLY DISAGREE	Done. It sits empty now.

				HOUSING -	AGREE/DISAGREE	
GENDER	AGE RANGE	PARTY	HOME	PROBLEM	COMMUNITY EFFORT	VERBATIM RESPONSE
						Not everyone is going to be able to afford to
						live in Vail. That's ok- there are many
						surrounding communities that people can
						commute from and many transportation
						options to assist. Pay should be the
						equalizing factor that drives workers to the
						community. If the businesses in Vail truly
						want to provide exceptional service- they'll
						do that by paying a rate that brings the best-
						regardless of where they live. I don't believe
						the government has a responsibility to
						ensure that everyone has a chance to live in
						an expensive city. Vail is limited in space by
						its natural surroundings so any additions
						your making are going to increase density.
						This is against the open space efforts and
						diminishes the value - in relative terms- of
						any existing property that Vail residents
MALE	35-44	REPUBLICAN	OWN	NOT AT ALL	STRONGLY DISAGREE	own.
						The government has no business being
						involved in the local housing market. By the
						Town of Vail becoming involved, the market
						is distorted to individuals personal benefit.
						For example, Anesthesiologists making
						\$600,000/year living in deed restricted
						housing when they could easily afford a
						multi million dollar house on the free
MALE	35-44	REPUBLICAN	OWN	NOT AT ALL	STRONGLY DISAGREE	market.
						I believe in free market. Stop encouraging
MALE	55-64	REPUBLICAN	OWN	NOT AT ALL	STRONGLY DISAGREE	more growth.

GENDER	AGE RANGE	ΔΑΡΤ Υ	НОМЕ	HOUSING - PROBLEM	AGREE/DISAGREE COMMUNITY EFFORT	VERBATIM RESPONSE
ULINDLK						They should make an effort, but not in Vail.
						They should commute from down the
						valley. Forcing this on the town is
FEMALE	65+	REPUBLICAN	OWN	NOT AT ALL	STRONGLY DISAGREE	misguided.
						The Town should not be in the public
MALE	55-64	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	NOT AT ALL	STRONGLY DISAGREE	housing business.
						This is nothing more than a tax payer
						subsidy of big business. There is already too
						much government and this is not the
MALE	55-64	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	NOT AT ALL	STRONGLY DISAGREE	government's problem.
						It is a problem created by Vail Resorts and
						large hotels using a business model to get
						others to pay their overhead costs. These
						large employers need to have a business
						model that charges their customers enough
						to provide housing necessary to provide the
						guest services their customers want. There
						is no reason most of these employees need
						to live in the Town of Vail. Why is the Town
						of Vail seeking to make living in the town
						more un-affordable for current residents via
MALE	55-64	DEMOCRAT	OWN	NOT TOO MUCH	STRONGLY DISAGREE	a tax? Is this a push poll?
MALE	65+	DEMOCRAT	OWN	NOT TOO MUCH	STRONGLY DISAGREE	Don't like deed restricted.
						Housing is a commodity, like food and
						health care. The government actions only
						distort the efficient functioning of the
						market, benefited only those that are in the
						impacted class and disadvantaging everyone
MALE	65+	DEMOCRAT	OWN	NOT TOO MUCH	STRONGLY DISAGREE	else.

				HOUSING -	AGREE/DISAGREE	
GENDER	AGE RANGE	PARTY	HOME	PROBLEM	COMMUNITY EFFORT	VERBATIM RESPONSE
						Why decrease the value of other homes by
						making areas of "low income" housing.
						There are affordable places to live in towns
FEMALE	45-54	REFUSED	OWN	NOT TOO MUCH	STRONGLY DISAGREE	very nearby.
						We should not make any effort. So they
						have to travel a bit. I did to when I couldn't
						find reasonable housing in the city. I took a
FEMALE	55-64	REFUSED	OWN	NOT TOO MUCH	STRONGLY DISAGREE	bus to work.
						I think the local governments are making
						the tax payer liable for their past mistakes in
						allowing large entities like the hospital and
						hotels from coming up with a plan to house
						their employees. Housing shortage isn't a
						new thing in resorts. It has been going on
						since the early days of all ski resorts. It has
						always been a problem since day one.
MALE	65+	REFUSED	OWN	NOT TOO MUCH	STRONGLY DISAGREE	Expensive land dictates that.
						The town of Vail should not be engaging its
						municipality in buying and selling real
						estate. It should be done by professional
						real estate people and developers and not
						by the town of Vail. It is in conflict with
						their fiduciary duty to the town of Vail
MALE	65+	REFUSED	OWN	NOT TOO MUCH	STRONGLY DISAGREE	citizens.

				HOUSING -	AGREE/DISAGREE	
GENDER	AGE RANGE	PARTY	HOME	PROBLEM	COMMUNITY EFFORT	VERBATIM RESPONSE
						Employers need to step up and provide a
						living wage for their workforce. It is not the
						public sectors job to provide housing. If you
						are conservatively against socialismthis is
						socialism. The rules of supply and demand
						(capitalism) are always strong in real estate
						marketseverywhere in the world. Vail is
FEMALE	REFUSED	REFUSED	OWN	NOT TOO MUCH	STRONGLY DISAGREE	not an exception.
MALE	REFUSED	REFUSED	OWN	NOT TOO MUCH	STRONGLY DISAGREE	Re-read answer to #9.
						I'm not opposed to efforts to improve access
						I'm not opposed to efforts to improve access
						to affordable housing. It is just that this government intervention is unlikely to make
						much of a difference for most workers. It is
						hilarious that this program is selling a condo now for \$600K as "affordable!" Build huge
						apartment/townhome complexes in Avon or
						further east. Stop pretending that solutions
MALE	45-54	REPUBLICAN	OWN	NOT TOO MUCH	STRONGLY DISAGREE	exist within the town of Vail. They do not.
MALE	43-34	KEFÜDLICAN	OWN	NOT TOO MOCH	STRUNULT DISAGREE	It's a waste of money. My money. You're
						taking money from taxpayers like me and
MALE	35-44	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	NOT TOO MUCH	STRONGLY DISAGREE	giving it to people who haven't earned it.
	55-44		OVVIN		STRUNULT DISAURLE	I think a resort community needs to do what
						is best to support/sustain the resort, making
						the town as high end as possible. Deed
						restricted communities do not add to the
						value of the town, and should be down
FEMALE	55 64	UNAFFILIATED			STRONGLY DISAGREE	valley.
TEMALE	55-64	UNAFFILIATED			DINUNULI DISAGREE	vaney.

				HOUSING -	AGREE/DISAGREE	
GENDER	AGE RANGE	PARTY	HOME	PROBLEM	COMMUNITY EFFORT	VERBATIM RESPONSE
						Not governments roll. This is not what my
						tax dollars should be used for. Fix the
						potholes, improve infrastructure, maintain
						my safety. I am against my hard earned
						dollars going to support housing for other
						people to own homes. I feel rental
						situations for short term seasonal employees
						needs to be solved by the businesses that
FEMALE	55-64	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	NOT TOO MUCH	STRONGLY DISAGREE	benefit from that labor.
						We have enough. All you want to do is tax
MALE	55-64	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	NOT TOO MUCH	STRONGLY DISAGREE	and spend!
						Town Government should not be involved
FEMALE	65+	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	NOT TOO MUCH	STRONGLY DISAGREE	in real estate.
						That affordable housing is inconvenient is
						not a serious concern. Working in Vail does
						not entitle one to live within walking
						distance of a lift. Workers throughout the
						U.S. are quite accustomed to "Drive til you
						quality" conditions of proximity for housing.
						Resort town workers are rather spoiled and
						feel entitled in ways that would not prevail
MALE	65+	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	NOT TOO MUCH	STRONGLY DISAGREE	in most cities in America.
						If I could not afford a residence in a certain
						town/area/etc., I would look elsewhere for a
						home. I would not expect the government
						to assist me. There are other towns in this
						County to tackle this issue as well, shouldn't
FEMALE	35-44	REFUSED	RENT	NOT TOO MUCH	STRONGLY DISAGREE	just be in the town of Vail.
						The loss of an owners property rights when
FEMALE	65+	REFUSED	OWN	REFUSED	STRONGLY DISAGREE	you allow government in as a partner.

GENDER	AGE RANGE	PARTY	HOME	HOUSING - PROBLEM	AGREE/DISAGREE COMMUNITY EFFORT	VERBATIM RESPONSE
						There is a limited space. The housing
MALE	55-64	DEMOCRAT	OWN	SOMEWHAT	STRONGLY DISAGREE	should be further down in Vail.
FEMALE	45-54	REFUSED	OWN	Somewhat	STRONGLY DISAGREE	I agree with Town of Vail requiring developers to build employee units as new buildings are erected. As for coverting vacant lands (which make Vail special to begin with) to employee housing I wholeheartedly oppose. There is more housing and lower costs down valley. Town of Vail should focus on busing not building.
I LIVI/ALL	43-34	KLI UJLD				Again, it is not the governments job to
FEMALE	65+	REFUSED	OWN	Somewhat	STRONGLY DISAGREE	provide housing.
						See previous response, this is the responsibility of employers. Government could do more to impact housing by restricting short term rentals which in the long run would return make more housing available for local residents both to rent and
MALE	45-54	REPUBLICAN	OWN	Somewhat	STRONGLY DISAGREE	own.
						I agree with Town of Vail changing existing structures-including Vail and down valley-to deed restricted and for employee housing. A big issue is winter workers and they are not here 12 months a year anyway. There is greater availability and at lesser costs down valley. Building in Vail and ruining beautiful vacant lands, which make this place special,
FEMALE	REFUSED	REPUBLICAN	OWN	Somewhat	STRONGLY DISAGREE	is not the answer.

				HOUSING -	AGREE/DISAGREE	
GENDER	AGE RANGE	PARTY	HOME	PROBLEM	COMMUNITY EFFORT	VERBATIM RESPONSE
						I don't support Housing Authority because
						they don't care about the mountain charm
						Vail represents. It has approved and or
						lobbied for every high density proposal that
						has come before council with no regard for
FEMALE	55-64	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	Somewhat	STRONGLY DISAGREE	whether it is even economically feasible.
						The town of Vail should provide housing
						opportunities for their employees and not
FEMALE	65+	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	Somewhat	STRONGLY DISAGREE	for those for profit businesses.
						See preceding. The question is much too
MALE	65+	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	Somewhat	STRONGLY DISAGREE	vague to allow a thoughtful answer.
						These properties are typically for working class families, sure, you give them an option of a place to live, but it robs them of the appreciation. For couples (because typical single income wont' afford even a dded- restricted unit) that don't plan on having children, this is fine. For families, it prevents them from having the earned appreciation in the future. We need more affordable housing for residents to rent while they save
FEMALE	35-44	OTHER	OWN	BIG	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	to buy a proper home that will appreciate.
						See previous answer. I think employers
						should be more engaged in deed restricted
FEMALE	18-34	REFUSED	OWN	BIG	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	projects and the financing of those projects.

				HOUSING -	AGREE/DISAGREE	
GENDER	AGE RANGE	PARTY	HOME	PROBLEM	COMMUNITY EFFORT	VERBATIM RESPONSE
						I don't totally disagree with government
						helping out a little but in areas like Vail
						where the property values are extremely
						expensive, I think purchasing land and
						building in adjacent, more affordable
						communities is wiser choice of spending tax
FEMALE	55-64	REFUSED	OWN	BIG	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	
						This does not help the housing market and
						families cannot invest in a home. They need
						to just make free market homes that can still
						have the restrictions as mentioned but the
						value of the home will increase as a normal
FEMALE	18-34	REPUBLICAN	OWN	BIG	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	
						If people are paid reasonably enough in
						their towns, then there should be a higher
						pay rate. There would be no need for the
FEMALE	35-44	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	BIG	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	
						In some sense, my issue is that if the house
						you have to buy is in Vail, it is too
						expensive. If it is a house that is
MALE	18-34	DEMOCRAT	RENT	BIG	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	unoccupied, it has been already purchased.
						Doesn't give the opportunity to profit from
						the growth of the town through real estate.
						Does not apply to the self employed
FEMALE	45-54	other	RENT	BIG	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	working in Vail.

GENDER	AGE RANGE	PARTY	HOME	HOUSING - PROBLEM	AGREE/DISAGREE Community Effort	VERBATIM RESPONSE
GENDER						
						I would prefer affordable housing.
						Lionsridge was proposed to have 300 units
						but Vail's HOA nixed that saying that would
						affect their ability to rent their homes during
						the summer and winter season. This limited
						the supply of rentable rooms thereby
MALE	55-64	OTHER	RENT	BIG	Somewhat disagree	causing a premium on the property.
						I understand the use of deed restrictions, but
						it is too much here for the cost of what
						people pay to live here. Vail BS is not good
MALE	35-44	UNAFFILIATED	RENT	BIG	Somewhat disagree	to the working class.
						Because the town then ramrods these
						projects into neighborhoods where they
						may not be suitable; size or density wise. It
						is time for private business to pay a living
						wage for their circumstances. Or, at least
MALE	65+	DEMOCRAT	OWN	NOT TOO MUCH	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	assist their employees with transportation.
						Feel government intervention is creating
						home use that is unrealistic for long term
						needs of those inhabiting them. As their
						lifestyle changes they end up being too
						dependent on a property that may no longer
						suit them due to cost, maintaining property,
						size no longer appropriate and might not
						integrate in neighborhoods that have other
FEMALE	65+	REFUSED	OWN	NOT TOO MUCH	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	interests.

				HOUSING -	AGREE/DISAGREE	
GENDER	AGE RANGE	PARTY	HOME	PROBLEM	COMMUNITY EFFORT	VERBATIM RESPONSE
						Government and bureaucracy may play a
						role in some efforts and working with
						private business but the employers ie. Vail
						Resorts should be responsible for
						developing a viable plan for dealing with a
						part time, low paying seasonal jobs.
						Dealing with deed restrictions in a long
						term manner make create long term
						financial impacts especially when an
						economic recess impacts housing market
FEMALE	REFUSED	REFUSED	OWN	NOT TOO MUCH	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	costs.
						What is a reasonable effort? I may think
						your "reasonable " effort is very
						unreasonably. I don't know what you plan
						and what unintended consequences there
						will be. I also don't think it has to be in the
						town limits. I don't think the employee
						housing so far is great. Town is horribly
MALE	REFUSED	REFUSED	OWN	NOT TOO MUCH	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	crowded. Parking is not available.
						It's not government responsibility to provide
MALE	45-54	REPUBLICAN	OWN	NOT TOO MUCH	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	housing in this instance.
						I have lived here through a series of booms
						and busts and the market is sometimes
						saturated with rental housing. I am happy
						for the locals who have been able to buy
						homes in Vail, but I do not favor the town's
FEMALE	65+	REPUBLICAN	OWN	NOT TOO MUCH	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	involvement in housing.
						I don't disagree with the entire statement. I
						believe that things in the town of Vail should
						be with Eagle County and not just the town
MALE	65+	Republican	OWN	NOT TOO MUCH	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	of Vail.

GENDER	AGE RANGE	PARTY	НОМЕ	HOUSING - PROBLEM	AGREE/DISAGREE Community Effort	VERBATIM RESPONSE
						Government is not in the business to supply
						housing for businesses in this county or
MALE	55-64	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	NOT TOO MUCH	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	town. Government employees-yes.
						Government involvement has consequences on the marketplace. The supply of available affordable housing is increasing as we speak
MALE	65+	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	NOT TOO MUCH	Somewhat disagree	outside the town of Vail. Why? Demand.
						As previously mentioned, I feel that too much focus is placed on having that housing within the town limits of where one is working. I am not opposed to deed- restricted housing; however, I feel strongly that this housing does not have to be immediately within the same town. I think it is VERY reasonable to have deed-restricted housing within a short commute. This is something that seems to have been lost on the Town of Vail when discussing deed-
FEMALE	REFUSED	REFUSED	REFUSED	NOT TOO MUCH	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	restricted homes.
FEMALE	65+	DEMOCRAT	OWN	Somewhat	Somewhat disagree	It should only be in the Town of Vail.
						"Reasonable" is a term subject to interpretationmany things the Town of Vail has done recently are not in my view "reasonable" Also the private sector (i.e. VR) need to do much more re: workforce
MALE	65+	REFUSED	OWN	Somewhat	Somewhat disagree	housing.
FEMALE	REFUSED	REFUSED	OWN	Somewhat	Somewhat disagree	Government should not be in the housing business.
MALE	REFUSED	REFUSED	OWN	Somewhat	Somewhat disagree	Disagree with the restrictions to the Town of Vail. Avon, etc ?

				HOUSING -	AGREE/DISAGREE	
GENDER	AGE RANGE	PARTY	HOME	PROBLEM	COMMUNITY EFFORT	VERBATIM RESPONSE
						Deed restricted unit harm re-sale value in
						the condo community that it the unit
						resides. Also why is it the governments
						responsibility to house employees of local
						businesses? You are using my tax dollars to
						solve a problem that is the responsibility of
MALE	65+	REPUBLICAN	OWN	Somewhat	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	the business owners.
						Local government should not be in the real
MALE	65+	REPUBLICAN	OWN	Somewhat	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	estate market.
FEMALE	45-54	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	Somewhat	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	Should be outside scope of responsibilities.
						This question implies Town of Vail should
						generate housing within its city limits. This
						issue should be addressed within the full
						corridor East Vail to Gypsum and all
						communities need to come together and
MALE	45-54	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	Somewhat	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	participate. This will take leadership.
						Vail Health and Vail Resorts should be
						paying the bulk of the cost of providing
						more deed restricted housing. Town of Vail
						can be a partner but should not shoulder the
FEMALE	55-64	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	Somewhat	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	majority of the financial burden.
						Seems to me that the town and resort make
						money on both sides of the decal and still
MALE	55-64	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	Somewhat	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	use tax dollars for housing.
						It is more of an emotional thing. I think
						people should take jobs that can support
						where they live. I don't think that just
						because they work here and in Denver that
						they shouldn't plan for both housing and
MALE	55-64	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	Somewhat	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	work.

				HOUSING -	AGREE/DISAGREE	
GENDER	AGE RANGE	PARTY	HOME	PROBLEM	COMMUNITY EFFORT	VERBATIM RESPONSE
						Privately built deed restricted housing
						would be better. The burden should be on
						the employers with the town providing
						quidelines. Vail resorts, Hospital etc. should
						contribute. It should not be built on the
						frontage road in East Vail, it is already too
FEMALE	65+	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	Somewhat	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	congested.
						It should be a private sector responsibility. If
						the Town provides housing it lets the private
						sector off the hook. But I'm ok with a
						'minor' effort by the Government if it is
						aimed at housing for hourly or low paid
MALE	65+	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	Somewhat	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	workers.
						I think the local government should be
						involved in helping but I feel strongly that
						Vail Resorts should be taking a stronger
						lead. However I am totally against VR
						building in East Vail and disturbing the
FEMALE	REFUSED	UNAFFILIATED	OWN	Somewhat	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	wildlife habitat in that area.

				HOUSING -	AGREE/DISAGREE	
GENDER	AGE RANGE	PARTY	HOME	PROBLEM	COMMUNITY EFFORT	VERBATIM RESPONSE
						Deed restricted properties are still not
						attractive to me as a homebuyer because
						I'm recent history in Colorado homeowners
						are seeing better increases in home value
						then the capped annual increase that seed
						restricted properties allow. This effectively
						limits the amount of return I can see on an
						investment in deed restricted properties and
						makes them unappealing. Additionally, for
						the same price I can get a deed restricted
						property in Vail I can move further away and
						have more square footage and average in
MALE	35-44	DEMOCRAT	REFUSED	Somewhat	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	addition to a better return on investment.
						At some point employers, not the town,
						need to step up. And as someone who
						scrimped and saved for YEARS I don't want
						my own propert value diluted. (Further,
						everyone I know who bought deed-
						restricted left income of assets off their
FEMALE	45-54	DEMOCRAT	RENT	Somewhat	SOMEWHAT DISAGREE	application. Just saying.)